



Form: Course Syllabus	Form Number	EXC-01-02-02A
	Issue Number and Date	12-Nov-24
	Number and Date of Revision or Modification	
	Deans Council Approval Decision Number	
	The Date of the Deans Council Approval Decision	
	Number of Pages	

1.	Course Title	Public Diplomacy
2.	Course Number	3001714
3.	Credit Hours (Theory, Practical)	3 Hours
	Contact Hours (Theory, Practical)	3 Hours
4.	Prerequisites/ Corequisites	---
5.	Program Title	Master of Conflict Resolutions
6.	Program Code	01
7.	School/ Center	Prince Al Hussein bin Abdullah II School of International Studies
8.	Department	Department of International Relations and Diplomatic and Regional Studies
9.	Course Level	Master level
10.	Year of Study and Semester (s)	
11.	Other Department(s) Involved in Teaching the Course	
12.	Main Learning Language	English Language
13.	Learning Types	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Face to face learning <input type="checkbox"/> Blended <input type="checkbox"/> Fully online
14.	Online Platforms(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Moodle <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Microsoft Teams
15.	Issuing Date	12-Nov-24
16.	Revision Date	

17. Course Coordinator:

Name: Rand Irshaidat
Contact hours: Tuesday & Wednesday (15.00-17.00)
Office number:
Phone number:
Email: r.irshaidat@ju.edu.jo



18. Other Instructors:

Name: Rand Irshaidat

Contact hours: Tuesday & Wednesday (15.00-17.00)

Office number:

Phone number:

Email: r.irshaidat@ju.edu.jo

19. Course Description:

The course aims to introduce students to key definitions, concepts, strategies, and conducts of public diplomacy in a contemporary context. The course revolves around scrutinizing how states and non-state actors partake in the formation of public diplomacy programs to communicate with foreign audiences. The course delves deeply into the progressive tools utilized in public diplomacy including soft power, cultural diplomacy, digital diplomacy, and media. Moreover, the course touches on the ethical conducts in public diplomacy. The course is interdisciplinary considering that it encroaches on various fields including marketing, sociology, psychology, and communication.

20. Program Intended Learning Outcomes: (To be used in designing the matrix linking the intended learning outcomes of the course with the intended learning outcomes of the program)

1. Develop an ability to deconstruct and critically reflect on key international relations concepts and systems.
2. Demonstrate the ability to apply knowledge in international relations theories and paradigms on historical and contemporary events in the realm of international relations on local, regional, and global scales.
3. Demonstrate the ability to form and assess analytical arguments in international relations and write scientific conceptual analysis.
4. Interpret international relations events through varied interdisciplinary theories and approaches including interstate relations, state-society relations, and global social movements.
5. Develop research skills that are contingent on diverse research paradigms and approaches to produce impactful intellectual contributions in the field of international relations.



6. Develop strong communication skills through conducting professional written and oral communiques in coursework and assessments.

21. Course Intended Learning Outcomes: (Upon completion of the course, the student will be able to achieve the following intended learning outcomes)

1. Understand the concepts of diplomacy, traditional diplomacy, and public diplomacy
2. Understand the relationship between public diplomacy and power
3. Critically assess cultural diplomacy
4. Apply the instruments of public diplomacy
5. Discuss the ethics of public diplomacy

Learning and Teaching Methods: Lectures, case studies, and group discussions.

Assignments: To write a working paper (Essay) concerning public diplomacy conducts in modern contexts.

Assessment: Midterm 30%, term paper, 20%, participation 10%, and final exam 40%.

Course ILOs	The learning levels to be achieved					
	Remembering	Understanding	Applying	Analysing	evaluating	Creating
1		Understanding	Applying	Analyzing	Evaluating	
2		Understanding	Applying			
3		Understanding			Evaluating	
4			Applying	Analyzing	Evaluating	
5		Understanding	Applying	Analyzing		

22. The matrix linking the intended learning outcomes of the course with the intended learning outcomes of the program:

Program ILOs	ILO (1)	ILO (2)	ILO (3)	ILO (4)	ILO (5)	ILO (6)



Course ILOs						
1		✓			✓	✓
2			✓		✓	✓
3	✓			✓		✓
4			✓			✓
5	✓	✓			✓	

23. Topic Outline and Schedule:

Topic	Week	Instructor	Achieved ILOs	Learning Type (Face to Face/ Blended/ Fully Online)	Evaluation Methods	Reference
Diplomacy, traditional diplomacy, and public diplomacy	Week 1	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on the concepts of diplomacy	Face to Face	Case studies and midterm	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Evolution of public diplomacy	Week 2	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on historical context of public diplomacy	Face to Face	midterm	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Public diplomacy and power	Week 3	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on power dynamics in public diplomacy	Face to Face	Case studies and midterm	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes



Cultural Diplomacy	Week 4	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on cultural diplomacy	Face to Face	Case studies and final exam	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Target groups and instruments	Week 5	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on audiences and tools of public diplomacy	Face to Face	Case studies and final exam	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Public diplomacy in the digital era	Week 6	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on digital public diplomacy	Face to Face	Case studies and final exam	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Exchange Programs	Week 7	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on exchange programs	Face to Face	Case studies and final exam	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Advocacy	Week 8	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on advocacy	Face to Face	Case studies and final exam	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Country Branding	Week 9	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on branding strategies	Face to Face	Case studies and final exam	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Credibility and public diplomacy	Week 10	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on credibility of public diplomacy	Face to Face	Case studies and final exam	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Ethics and Public Diplomacy	Week 11	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on ethics in public diplomacy	Face to Face	Case studies and final exam	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Public diplomacy and relevant terms	Week 12	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	Knowledge on relevant terms to public diplomacy	Face to Face	Case studies and final exam	Snow & Cull (2020) & PowerPoint lecture notes
Review of the Module	Week 13	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	All the topic	Face to Face	Presentation, Working paper	All the references
Paper Presentation	Week 14	Dr. Rand Irshaidat	All the topic	Face to Face	Presentation, Working paper	All the references

24. Evaluation Methods:

Opportunities to demonstrate achievement of the ILOs are provided through the following assessment methods and requirements:



Evaluation Activity	Mark	Topic(s)	ILO/s Linked to the Evaluation activity	Period (Week)	Platform
Midterm	30	Definitions, Evolution Power Hierarchy	ILO (3) ILO (5) ILO (2)	5	At the faculty building
Term Paper	20	the paper should be relevant to one of the topics in the Assignment Schedule	ILO (2) ILO (4)	10	At the faculty building
Final Exam	40	All Topics	ILO (5) ILO (4) ILO (2) ILO (1)	15	At the faculty building
Participation	10	All Topics		All semester	At the faculty building

25. Course Requirements:

(e.g.: students should have a computer, internet connection, webcam, account on a specific software/platform...etc.):

- Data show
- laptop



26. Course Policies:

- A- Attendance policies:
- B- Absences from exams and submitting assignments on time:
- C- Health and safety procedures:
- D- Honesty policy regarding cheating, plagiarism, misbehavior:
- E- Grading policy:
- F- Available university services that support achievement in the course:

27. References:

- A- Required book(s), assigned reading and audio-visuals:

Snow & Cull (2020), Routledge Handbook for Public Diplomacy, 2nd ed, Routledge: New York

Burns, Alex and Ben Eltham. n.d. Twitter Free Iran: An Evaluation of Twitter's Role in Public

Diplomacy and Information Operations in Iran's 2009 Election Crisis.

https://www.academia.edu/369683/Twitter_Free_Iran_An_Evaluation_of_Twitter's_Role_In_Public_Diplomacy_and_Information_Operations_in_Iran's_2009_Election_Crisis

⁴The University of Jordan Course Syllabus Accreditation and Quality Assurance Center

[Diplomacy_and_Information_Operations_in_Iran's_2009_Election_Crisis](https://www.academia.edu/369683/Twitter_Free_Iran_An_Evaluation_of_Twitter's_Role_In_Public_Diplomacy_and_Information_Operations_in_Iran's_2009_Election_Crisis)

Cowan, Geoffrey and Amelia Arsenault. 2008. Moving from Monologue to Dialogue to

Collaboration: The Three Layers of Public Diplomacy. ANNALS, AAPSS, 616, March 2008. DOI:

10.1177/0002716207311863. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0002716207311863>

Cull, Nicholas C. 2009. *Public Diplomacy: Lessons from the Past*. Los Angeles, CA: Figueroa Press.

<https://uscpublicdiplomacy.org/story/cpd-perspectives-public-diplomacy-lessons-past>

Dutta-Bergman, Mohan. 2006. U.S. Public Diplomacy in the Middle East: A Critical Cultural

Approach. *Journal of Communication Inquiry* 30: 2 pp. 102-124.

<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0196859905285286>

El-Nawawy, Mohammed. 2006. US Public Diplomacy In The Arab World: The News Credibility Of



Radio Sawa And Television Alhurra In Five Countries. *Global Media and Communication* 2:2, pp.

183–203. <http://gmc.sagepub.com/10.1177/1742766506066228>

Gregory, Bruce. 2011. American Public Diplomacy: Enduring Characteristics, Elusive

Transformation. *The Hague Journal of Diplomacy*. 6 pp. 351-372.

<http://booksandjournals.brillonline.com/content/journals/10.1163/187119111x583941>

Huijgh, Ellen and Jordan Warlick. 2016. *The Public Diplomacy Of Emerging Powers,*

Part 1: The Case Of Turkey. Los Angeles, CA: Figueroa Press.

<https://usepublicdiplomacy.org/sites/usepublicdiplomacy.org/files/useruploads/u35361/The%20PD%20of%20Emerging%20Powers.pdf>

Kendrik, Alice and Jami A. Fullerton. 2004. Advertising as Public Diplomacy: Attitude Change

among International Audiences. *Journal of Advertising Research*. September, 2004. Pp. 297-311.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021849904040255>

Khatib, Lina, William Dutton, Michael Thelwall. 2012. Public Diplomacy 2.0: A Case Study of the

US Digital Outreach Team. *Middle East Journal*. 66:3. Pp. 453-472.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3751/66.3.14>

Melissen, Jan. *Wielding Soft Power: The New Public Diplomacy*. The Hague: Netherlands Institute of

International Relations *Clingendael*. Clingendael Diplomacy Papers No. 2.

https://www.peacepalacelibrary.nl/ebooks/files/Clingendael_20050500_cdsp_paper_diplomacy_2_melissen.pdf

Nisbet, Erik C., Matthew C. Nisbet, Dietram A. Scheufele, and James E. Shanahan. 2004. Public

Diplomacy, Television News, and Muslim Opinion. *Press/Politics* 9:2, pp. 11-37.

<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1081180X04263459?journalCode=hija>

Nye, Joseph S. Jr. 2004. The Decline of America's Soft Power. *Foreign Affairs*.

<https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/2004-05-01/decline-americas-soft-power>

Nye, Joseph S. Jr. 2008. Public Diplomacy and Soft Power. *ANNALS, AAPSS*, 616, March 2008. Pp.

94-110. DOI: 10.1177/0002716207311699.

<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0002716207311699?journalCode=anna>

⁵The University of Jordan Course Syllabus Accreditation and Quality Assurance Center

Riordan, Shaun. 2004. *Dialogue-based Public Diplomacy: A New Foreign Policy Paradigm?* The

Hague: Netherlands Institute of International Relations *Clingendael*.

Clingendael Diplomacy Papers

No. 95. https://www.clingendael.org/sites/default/files/2016-02/20041100_cli_paper_dip_issue95.pdf

Smyth, Rosaleen. 2001. Mapping US Public Diplomacy in the 21st Century.

Australian Journal of



International Affairs. 55:3, pp. 421–444.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10357710120095252>

Van Dyke, Mark A. and Dejan Vercic. *Public Relations, Public Diplomacy, and Strategic*

Communication: An International Model of Conceptual Convergence.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265070377_Public_Relations_Public_Diplomacy_and_Strategic_Communication_An_International_Model_of_Conceptual_Convergence

Van Ham, Peter. 2003. War, Lies, and Videotape: Public Diplomacy and the USA's War on

Terrorism. *Security Dialogue* 34:4, 427-441.

<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0967010603344004>

Walker, Vivian S. 2016. *The Reem Island Ghost: Framing State Narratives on Terror*. Los Angeles,

CA: USC Center on Public Diplomacy.

<https://uscpublicdiplomacy.org/sites/uscpublicdiplomacy.org/files/useruploads/u25044/Walker%20final.pdf>

Yun, Seong-Hun and Elizabeth L. Toth. 2009. Future Sociological Public Diplomacy and the Role of

Public Relations: Evolution of Public Diplomacy. *American Behavioral Scientist*

53(4) 493–503. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0002764209347626>

Zaharna, R.S. 2008. Mapping out a Spectrum of Public Diplomacy Initiatives: Information and

Relational Communications Framework.

<https://www.routledgehandbooks.com/doi/10.4324/9780203891520.ch10>

B- Recommended books, materials, and media:

Relevant Academic Websites

28. Additional information:

Name of the Instructor or the Course Coordinator:	Signature:	Date:
Name of the Head of Quality Assurance Committee/ Department	Signature:	Date:
Name of the Head of Department	Signature:	Date:
Name of the Head of Quality Assurance Committee/ School or Center	Signature:	Date:
Name of the Dean or the Director	Signature:	Date:

